

A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org

#### **COPY RIGHT**





2019 IJIEMR. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IJIEMR must

be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works. No Reprint should be done to this paper, all copy right is authenticated to Paper Authors

IJIEMR Transactions, online available on 23rd Dec 2019. Link

:http://www.ijiemr.org/downloads.php?vol=Volume-08&issue=ISSUE-12

Title: EFFECT OF HEAT TREATMENT ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND CORROSION BEHAVIOUR ON ASTMA36 MILD STEEL

Volume 08, Issue 12, Pages: 497-501.

**Paper Authors** 

O.PAVITHRA, V.NAVEEN KUMAR, G.CHITTIBABU

Ramachandra College of Engineering, A.P, INDIA





USE THIS BARCODE TO ACCESS YOUR ONLINE PAPER

To Secure Your Paper As Per UGC Guidelines We Are Providing A Electronic

Bar Code



A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org

# EFFECT OF HEAT TREATMENT ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND CORROSION BEHAVIOUR ON ASTMA36 MILD STEEL

O.PAVITHRA<sup>1</sup>, V.NAVEEN KUMAR, G.CHITTIBABU <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Ramachandra College of Engineering, A.P, INDIA.

**Abstract**—The present study discusses the effect of heat treatment on mechanical properties and corrosion behaviour of ASTM A36. Steel which contains 0.26% C is annealed at 8300C and8700C followed by cooling in water and air. Effect on hardness ,microstructural changes and corrosion behaviour were investigated. The resulting microstructures had ferrite-plus-pearlite forannealed and normalized samples, whereas for quenched sample it is martensite-plus-ferrite. The value of ultimate tensile strength was observed to higher for the hardened specimenpossibly as a result of the refinement of the primary phase after the subsequent coolingprocesses, followed by tempered, normalized and annealed specimens. The value of hardness isobserved to be in the order of hardened > tempered normalised> annealed. The corrosion ofmild steel in 0.5 N hydrochloric acid has been investigated by weight loss and potentiodynamic polarization techniques. The heat treated specimens exhibited better corrosion resistance than asreceived specimen.

Index Terms—MS A36 ,Heat treatment, potential dynamics polarization, Corrosion.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Mild steel (0.05–0.25 %C) is easily available expensive and least having all material properties that are acceptable for many engineering applications. ASTM A36 (C-0.26%, Mn-0.75%, S-0.05%, P-0.04% and balance Fe) is a versatile material which shows considerable properties with appreciable cost. It is the most commonly used mild and hot-rolled steel having density of 7.7.85g/cm3. Mild steel is extensively used in forming tanks, bearing plates, automotive& agricultural applications, walkways, boat landing ramps and trenches because it has higher and better machinability and good weldability [1] . ASTM A36 is broadly used in structural applications because it exhibits proper combination of strength, toughness and ductility. It was however known that mechanical properties of steel were strongly connected to their microstructure obtained after hear treatment. Extensive research work was carried out on effect of heat treatment on mechanical properties by Oyeleke and Ade (2). It was found that heattreatment improves ultimate tensile strength and hardness of low carbon steel but the ductilitydecreases. Research works carried out by D.A. Fadare, T.G. Fadara and O.Y. Akanbi found that hardness value (NST 37-2 steel, 0.3442% C) is higher for quenched sample and it is very low for annealed sample, tensile strength is also varying in the same manner only [3]. Mild Steel isextensively used in industries as a result it corrodes when exposed to various environments and conditions. Hydrochloric acid is higher corrosive towards mild steel because of great solubility of chloride and higher mobility of chloride ions. Research works carried out by Ehteram A. Noor and



A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org

Aisha H. Al Moubaraki found that corrosion rate of mild steel (0.21%C) increases with the increase in hydrochloric acid concentration [4]. The present study discusses the effect of heat treatment on mechanical properties and corrosion response on Fe-0.24%C (ASTM A36) steels.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE:

Mild steel samples of composition C-0.26%, Mn-0.75%, S-0.05%, P-0.04% and balance Fe were used. Specimens of 20 mm in diameter and 14 mm in thickness were obtained from thetrader (Krish Met, Chennai, India). All specimens were austenised in two different set of

temperatures such as 8300 C & 8700 C. Austenisation of samples were carried in Muffle furnace and Direct Heating Furnace (heating rate of 50C/min). The time taken to reach 8300 C & 8700 C was 166 minutes and 174 minutes respectively. Various heat likeAnnealing, treatment processes Normalizing, Quenching, and Tempering will be done for two set of samples at two different temperatures. After reaching required temperature, the sample is allowed to soak for 30 minutes, in order to homogenize the internal structure. Different types of cooling processes wereadopted based on the purpose of heat treatment. Tempering of the samples proceeded in twoways, Low temperature tempering (< 250oC) and Medium Temperature Tempering (250oC-

500oC) for eight samples. The eight quenched samples were reheated to 230oC and 350oC for 46, 70 minutes followed cooling in both air and water. After the completion of heat treatment in order to get the microstructural details the specimens grinded and subjected to sequential

steps of polishing gives scratch free, mirror surface. The specimens were then etched with 6% Nital to reveal the microstructural features. All etched samples were observed under optical microscopeat 100 X magnification. Rockwell and brinell are the two different Hardness tests were conducted to the Heat treated samples. Rockwell test was performed at a minor load of 10 kgand major load of 100 kg for 20 seconds using Rockwell Hardness testing machine (RatnakarEnterprises, RAS-model,) and Brinell test was carried at a load of 3000 kg for about 30 secondsusing a steel ball indenter by using Brinell hardness testing machine (Ratnakar Enterprises, RB-3000 (J)) as per the ISO standards. Two measurements were taken at random positions to obtainmore accurate results.The definite relationship between Ultimate Tensile Strength of a metal and Brinell hardnessnumber by some experimental work will help us to obtain UTS values.

Plain carbon steels in normalized and annealed condition:

UTS (kg/mm2) = 0.36 \* BHN

Plain carbon steels in hardened and tempered condition:

UTS (kg/mm2) = 0.32 \* BHN

The weight loss measurements of mild steel, ASTM A36 in 1 N HCl for different specimenshave been performed. Samples were immersed in 100 ml solution for 90 minutes and after thatthey were taken out, cleaned and kept it in oven for some time (10 minutes) to dry. The initialand final weights of mild steel were taken by Electronic balance (Wenser, MAB 220 model,

max. weight = 200 gm. and d=0.1 mg). Corrosion rate was found out using the formula (1)below.



A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org

534 W D A T

Corrosion Rate (mpy) =

Where; W- Weight Loss (mg), D- Density of MS A36 (gm. /cm3), A- Area of specimen (Sq.inch), T- Time of Exposure (hr.)Electro chemical measurements were conducted on Potentiostat (IVIUM, Germany)connected to personal computer by using a conventional electrochemical cell of three electrodes.Mild steel is used as a working electrode, saturated calomel electrode as reference electrode andplatinum foil as the counter electrode. The potentiodynamic polarization measurements werecarried out using a scan rate of 1mV. The specimen was polished and washed with distilled

water before the experiment. Before each experiment the open circuit potential (OCP) wasrecorded for at least 30 min. The observed current was plotted against applied potential. Faraday's law can be used to calculate the corrosion rate in terms of penetration rate (CR).

$$CR = K_1 \frac{i_{corr}}{\rho} EW$$

Where, CR is given in

mm/yr, icorr in  $\mu$ A/cm2, K1 = 3.27 \* 10-3 mm g/ $\mu$ A cm yr,  $\rho$  = density in g/cm3, EW = Equivalent weight of mild steel, is considered to be dimension less in this calculation. The mild steel equivalent weight is estimated to be 28.4693 (detail calculation is shown in appendix).

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The microstructures of all specimens are presented below in Figure: 1 (a-f) and Figure: 2 (gto n), The microstructure of annealed specimen exposed the presence of coarse ferrite and coarsepearlite (figure: 1 a, b); because after austenisation it was allowed to soak at that temperature for1 hour so all the material was transformed into austenite, then it was cooled slowly inside thefurnace so as to get coarse microstructure, but in case of normalizing it was cooled in normalatmosphere, so due to the fast cooling there is no time for grain growth which results in thestructure of fine pearlite and fine ferrite (figure: 1 c, d). When it comes to quenching, after the completion of austenisation and soaking, the samples were allowed to cool in water, this rapidcooling won't give sufficient time for the grain growth so the microstructure shows martensiteflakes (figure: 1 e, f) in ferrite matrix. Martensite is a metastable structure, and it decomposes to

other phases when re heated to certain tempering temperatures. The specimen which is temperedat 2500C revealed the transformation of retained austenite into ferrite and cementite (figure: 2 g,h, i, j) whereas 3500C tempered specimen results



A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org

in lath like Fe3C precipitation (figure: 2 k, l, m,n) due to high tempering temperature.

The heat treated specimens hardness test were shown in Table: 1. It shows the result at atemperature of 8300C, and 8700C for different heat treated samples. The values of hardness forguenched specimens was observed to be much higher than annealed and normalized specimenswhich can be associated to the martensite structure in the former, that formed during rapidcooling (water quenching) induced lot of internal stresses in the sample. Normalized specimenalso have a greater value than that of annealed sample, which can be linked with the formation of soft ferrite matrix in annealed specimen and pearlite matrix structure obtained in normalized specimen. Tempered specimens were also having higher hardness values because of thesubsequent tempering process that results the formation ferrite upon the decomposition ofmartensite. The cooling medium will also affect in tempering processes, here the values are quitehigher in water than that of air because of less time provided to cooling. The ultimate tensilestrength values were observed to be in the rank of quenched > tempered > normalized >annealed, as a result of the grain rearrangement. Weight loss measurements for different heat treated samples are presented in table: 2.compared with as received, annealed samples are having less corrosion rate, because thesoftness induced after annealing treatment and also the lack of internal stresses. The extra timein annealed 8700C will allow removing all internal stresses, so, annealed 8300C sample is having

high corrosion rate than annealed 8700C sample. Potentiodynamic polarization behavior

of ASTM A36 in 0.5 N hydrochloric acid was shown in figure 3, 4& 5. Electrochemical measurements for different heat treated samples are presented in table: 3. Annealed samples are

showing lower corrosion rates when compared with as received sample because of removinginternal stresses and induced ductility in annealed samples. A good agreement was observedbetween weight loss measurements coupled with electrochemical measurements.




Figure 2: g) Tempered 230 air quench, h) Tempered 230 water quench, i) Tempered 350 airquench, j) Tempered 350 water quench for 8300C respectively, k) Tempered 230 air quench, l)Tempered 230 water quench, m) Tempered 350 air quench, n) Tempered 350 water quench for 8700C respectively are tempered microstructures for 0.26% C steel showing temperedmartensite.



A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org




Figure 4: Potentiodynamic polarization behavior of Annealed 8300C specimen in 0.5 N HCl.

#### **Conclusions:**

From the results obtained, it can be deduced that mechanical properties reckons largely uponthe various forms of heat treatment operations and cooling rate. Hence depending upon theproperties and the applications that may be required for any design purpose, a suitable form ofheat treatment should be adopted. For high ductile and toughness, annealing and normalizing themild steel will give satisfactory results, along with that normalizing will also improvesmachinability with refined microstructure. For high hardness quenching process will be morebeneficial. Tempering process will give moderate properties depending on parameters like time, temperature and cooling medium. The results obtained from weight loss and polarizationmeasurements suggests that if there is highly corrosive atmosphere in application, heat treatmentprovides solution (as the corrosion rate is less for heat treated samples) but at the cost ofhardness. The corrosion rates determined by weight loss and electrochemical measurements were in reasonable agreement.



A Peer Revieved Open Access International Journal

www.ijiemr.org